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Human herpesvirus 6 (HHV-6) infections are typically mild and in rare cases can result in encephalitis. A common theme among all the herpesviruses, however, is the reactivation upon immune suppression. HHV-6 commonly reactivates in transplant recipients. No therapies are approved currently for the treatment of these infections, although small studies and individual case reports have reported intermittent success with drugs such as cidofovir, ganciclovir, and foscarnet. In addition to the current experimental therapies, many other compounds have been reported to inhibit HHV-6 in cell culture with varying degrees of efficacy. Recent advances in the development of new small molecule inhibitors of HHV-6 will be reviewed with regard to their efficacy and spectrum of antiviral activity. The potential for new therapies for HHV-6 infections will also be discussed, and they will likely arise from efforts to develop broad spectrum antiviral therapies for DNA viruses.
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Introduction
Human herpesvirus 6 A (HHV-6A) and human herpesvirus 6 B (HHV-6B) are members of the beta herpesvirus subfamily, as is cytomegalovirus (CMV) and Human Herpesvirus 7 (HHV-7). Primary infections with the Roseoloviruses HHV-6A and HHV-6B typically occur early in life with HHV-6B being the most common [1]. The two HHV-6 viruses are distinct entities and are classified as different species [2,3]; they are associated with different clinical manifestations, yet it is not always feasible to distinguish the viruses in clinical studies so data from viruses are generally analyzed together and reported simply as HHV-6 infections. Here, we will specify the specific virus where possible and will simply use the HHV-6 designation where it is not. Primary infection with HHV-6B has been shown to be the cause of exanthem subitum (roseola) in infants [4], and can also result in an infectious mononucleosis-like illness in adults [5]. Infections caused by HHV-6A and HHV-7 have not been well characterized and are typically reported in the transplant setting [6,7]. Serologic studies indicated that most people become infected with HHV-6 by the age of two, most likely through saliva transmission [8]. The receptors for HHV-6A and HHV-6B have been identified as CD46 and CD134, respectively [9,10]. This facilitates entry into many cell types including CD4+ cells, CD8+ T-cells, natural killer cells, monocytes, epithelial cells, and brain-derived cells [11].

The development of therapies for HHV-6 infections has been limited because of the lack of unequivocal association between infection and disease that warrants intervention. Roseola infections are typically mild and do not warrant therapy. Other infections caused by HHV-6 have been incriminated in a variety of human illnesses; however, the lack of cause and effect has impeded drug development and controlled studies of existing medications in order to establish value of treatment are wanting. In large part, such associations may be dependent upon controlled clinical trials that establish the value of therapies in targeted diseases. Diseases associated with HHV-6 infection have included encephalitis and infections in immunocompromised host, particularly interstitial pneumonitis [12]. In addition, infection has been incriminated as a cause of multiple sclerosis, as has been the case for numerous other viral agents [13]. Reactivation of HHV-6 frequently occurs during immune suppression and is seen in 50% of all bone marrow and 20–30% of solid organ transplant recipients [7,14]. Two clinical studies suggest a role of HHV-6 in contributing to morbidity in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Specifically the early reactivation with increasing viral load was associated with fever, skin rash, diarrhea, pulmonary complications, and neurologic disorders [15]. A second study utilized prophylactic ganciclovir in a placebo-controlled study that demonstrated drug administration decreased the probability of skin rash, interstitial pneumonitis, diarrhea, and thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) [16]. Diagnostic procedures and sequencing analyses have shown that the viral genome can integrate within telomeric regions of chromosomes in some individuals, although
its significance remains unclear [17,18]. While these studies do not define disease etiology, they provide potential indications for the development of therapeutics for antiviral agents.

Methodologic assays
Several methodologies have been employed to detect activity of small molecules against all of the betaherpesviruses and will be summarized specifically for HHV-6 infection. All isolates of HHV-6A and HHV-6B replicate well in phytohemagglutinin-stimulated umbilical cord blood lymphocytes and exhibit a prolonged replication cycle characteristic of this subfamily [19]. Additional cell lines that support viral replication have been identified and are generally used in the evaluation of antiviral activity. The first report of antiviral activity against GS strain of HHV-6A was described in a T-lymphoblastoid cell line (HSB-2) [20]. The Z29 strain of HHV-6B replicates well in Molt-3 cells, and this line is most often used in evaluating the efficacy of antiviral agents [21]. In all cells, viral replication can be assessed by DNA hybridization, quantitative PCR, and flow cytometry, but cytopathology is also apparent in some cell lines [20,22,23].

Molecules with antiviral activity against HHV-6
The susceptibility of HHV-6 to antiviral drugs seems to be distinct from that of CMV, although CDV, PFA, and GCV all appear to inhibit virus replication in vitro with modest efficacy [20,24]. To summarize the activity of the more commonly used compounds, the in vitro efficacy from several manuscripts is shown in Table 1. Additional effective agents are in various stages of development and the most promising small molecules will be discussed in detail below (Figure 1). Immunotherapeutic strategies have also been reported for the therapy of HHV-6 infections but are outside the scope of this review [25].

Ganciclovir
The one molecule studied extensively for therapy of putative HHV-6 infection is ganciclovir, a nucleoside analog that was synthesized in the 1980s for the treatment of CMV infection. This compound is phosphorylated by the U69 kinase in HHV-6 and the active triphosphate metabolite inhibits the DNA polymerase. The activity of ganciclovir in vitro is dependent upon the assay that has been employed. Utilizing an immunofluorescence assay, ganciclovir was reported to have a minimal level of in vitro activity at >25 μM [20]. However, in a more sensitive assay that utilized cord blood lymphocytes, Yoshida and colleagues reported activity in the μM range [26]. In large part, this limited activity against both viruses may be related to the low level of phosphorylation by the U69 kinase, and, as a consequence, the reduced inhibition of DNA polymerase by the active metabolite [27,28]. Resistance to the drug maps both the U69 protein kinase as well as the U38 DNA polymerase, and the mechanism of action is thought to be similar to that against CMV [29,30].

Several clinical trials have suggested the value of ganciclovir, but from a very limited perspective [16,31–37]. Of note, resistant virus has been detected in a number of transplant patients and is not unexpected given the modest efficacy of ganciclovir against this virus [38,39].

With the development of the oral formulation of ganciclovir, valganciclovir, an alternative to intravenous therapy exists and provides an opportunity for the performance of controlled clinical trials with greater ease of drug administration in those populations that tolerate orally administered medications.

Foscarnet
Foscarnet is employed to treat CMV infections in the immunocompromised host, particularly in the presence of antiviral resistance to ganciclovir. This drug directly binds the pyrophosphate binding site in the DNA polymerase and inhibits the activity of this enzyme. It was among the first drugs identified to have activity against HHV-6 replication in vitro at a level of approximately 25–50 μM, and it is active against both viruses [20,40]. In clinical studies the drug has been used alone and with other licensed drugs, including ganciclovir and cidovir for the treatment of HHV-6 infections [33,35,37,41,42]. Notably, the electrolyte imbalances resulting from therapy result in renal toxicity that is a deterrent to its use. As would be anticipated from
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* The mean of EC50 values are shown from the indicated publications although not all of them report the efficacy of both HHV-6A and HHV-6B.
its mechanism of action, resistance to foscarnet maps to the DNA polymerase [43].

**Cidofovir**

Cidofovir is licensed for the therapy of CMV infections, particularly in high-risk immunocompromised hosts. Historically, the medication was used as an alternative treatment to ganciclovir in AIDS patients with retinitis. This acyclic nucleoside phosphonate analog is phosphorylated by cellular kinases to the diphosphate and is incorporated into viral DNA by the viral DNA polymerase. With improved therapy of HIV infection, the incidence of CMV retinitis is low. Cidofovir and cyclic cidofovir inhibit the replication of both HHV-6A and HHV-6B with EC<sub>50</sub> values of 3–9 μM [20,22*]. There are anecdotal reports suggesting that it may have some utility in the treatment of HHV-6 infections either alone or with other compounds and is plausible given this drug is active against all the human herpesviruses [33,42,44]. Drug resistance has been generated in the laboratory and maps to the HHV-6 DNA polymerase, namely the U38 gene [45].

**Brincidofovir**

The lipophilic derivative of cidofovir, brincidofovir or CMX001, is the hexadeoxyxpropyl-cidofovir molecule [46]. It is a lipophilic prodrug of cidofovir that is highly active against many human DNA viruses, including HHV-6 and its mechanism of action is similar to that of CDV. It is among the most active molecules that have
been tested against this virus with EC_{50} values of 3 and 7 nM for HHV-6A and HHV-6B, respectively [47]. This molecule has been studied extensively in animal models against a variety of DNA viral infections, and has superior activity to cidofovir [48,49]; however, since there is no animal model of HHV-6 infection, no similar data are currently available. Furthermore, the drug was shown to suppress CMV disease in hematopoietic cell transplant in a phase 2 clinical trial, and a pivotal phase 3 clinical trial is underway in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients [50]. Since the betaherpesviruses CMV and HHV-6 both exhibit similar levels of susceptibility to cidofovir, the drug might be expected to suppress disease from both viruses in this high risk population.

**CMV423**

CMV423 is a novel molecule with good activity against HHV-6 (EC_{50} approximately 50 nM) [51]. It inhibits a cellular protein tyrosine kinase that plays a critical role in HHV-6 viral replication [52]. Since the compound inhibits a cellular protein, the likelihood of advancement into clinical trials is unclear because of potential host cell toxicity.

**Cyclopropavir and other methylenecyclopropane analogs**

Cyclopropavir is a methylenecyclopropane analog that is a potent inhibitor of CMV and is also active *in vitro* against HHV-6 infection with EC_{50} values of 1 and 6 µM for HHV-6A and 6B [22*,53]. Its mechanism of action is similar to ganciclovir in that it is phosphorylated by the CMV UL97 kinase, but it also interferes with the normal function of the UL97 kinase that is critical to the replication of CMV [54,55,56*,57]. In HHV-6 the UL97 homolog, U69, phosphorylates the drug thus, its mechanism of action appears to parallel that of ganciclovir although with superior efficacy against HHV-6 [58**].

Recently, similar methylenecyclopropane analogs have been synthesized with ether and thioether substitutions at the 6 position of the purine and these analogs have superior activity to cyclopropavir [22*]. These are also phosphorylated directly by the U69 kinase [58**]. The mechanism of action of this series of compounds is more complex than that of cyclopropavir because of the modification of the guanine as well as the absence of a 3’ hydroxyl, which implies that it is likely an obligate chain terminator (Figure 1).

**Benzimidazole derivatives**

Several benzimidazole analogs have been reported to have antiviral activity against the human herpesviruses; maribavir is an L benzimidazole and is a potent inhibitor of CMV replication with submicromolar efficacy [59]. The molecule inhibits CMV UL97 kinase and is the most specific protein kinase inhibitor that has been identified to date [57]. Unfortunately, Phase III clinical trials for the prevention of CMV infection in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients failed to demonstrate efficacy and its further development is in doubt. This molecule also inhibits the U69 protein kinase in HHV-6, however its antiviral activity against HHV-6A and HHV-6B in cell culture is very similar as compared to CMV [60].

Another β benzimidazole analog, BDCRB (2-bromo-5, 6-dichloro-1-beta-D-ribofuranosylbenzimidazole), has a completely different mechanism of action and is the first described inhibitor of the CMV terminase [61]. While this molecule exhibits limited antiviral activity against HHV-6, the L analog of BDCRB is a potent inhibitor of HHV-6 with EC_{50} values of 2.8 and 9.7 µM for HHV-6A and HHV-6B, respectively [60]. It is unknown whether this compound targets the U69 kinase, terminase complex, or other essential function, but the distinct structure activity relationship of this series of compounds against HHV-6 is clearly different from that of CMV and thus this series of compounds holds promise.

**Other molecules with activity against HHV-6 in vitro**

Artesunate molecules are licensed and have efficacy against malaria and, to a much more limited extent, CMV infection. The precise mechanism of action against the DNA viruses is unknown and may not be specific. For CMV infection, the EC_{50} is approximately 5.8 µM [62,63]. Anecdotal reports have documented apparent efficacy in a very few cases but clinical trials will be required to assess the potential utility of this compound [64,65]. Efficacy has also been reported against HHV-6A with and EC_{50} value of 3.8 µM [66]. A single report described the use of the drug in the treatment of a child with HHV-6B myocarditis, yet it was unclear that the artesunate therapy was related to the recovery of the patient [67].

Numerous other molecules have been reported to exhibit antiviral activity against HHV-6 in cell culture. 3-Deaza-HPMPA is active in the low µM range [20], however, because of toxicity it will not be advanced into clinical trials. Arylsulfone derivatives also have been reported to exhibit activity with CMV EC_{50} values at the low micromolar level and this series warrants further investigation [68]. Continued evaluation of new molecular entities will likely be required to identify potent new molecules with novel molecular targets.

**Conclusions**

At present, it is highly unlikely that any drug will be developed specifically for the treatment of HHV-6 infections. The availability of molecules that inhibit HHV-6 will in all probability result from spin-offs of those drugs synthesized to improved therapy of CMV infections of humans. More importantly, it underscores the need for
safe and effective broad spectrum antiviral drugs that can prevent disease in high risk populations not only from CMV and HHV-6, but also from other DNA viruses such as HHV-7, herpes simplex virus, Epstein-Barr virus, varicella-zoster virus, BK virus, and adenovirus. As long as the causative role of HHV-6 in diseases with significant impact or morbidity is not established, the development of specific therapeutics for this virus will remain a relatively low priority. The focus on antiviral agents with a broad spectrum antiviral activity that includes the rose- loviruses currently has the greatest potential to yield effective therapies for these infections.
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